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Preliminary Article

In accordance with Article 18 of the Statutes of the College of Europe, the present regulations establish general rules for the study programmes offered by the College of Europe in Bruges and at Natolin (Warsaw).

These regulations may be complemented by rules specific to the different study programmes, insofar as these have been brought to the attention of the Academic Council and are compatible with the present regulations.

For the purposes of the present regulations:

« Study Programmes » refers to the programmes of European studies leading to the award of a College of Europe degree. The study programmes are those organised in Bruges (economic, legal, political and administrative, EU international relations and diplomacy studies) and at Natolin (interdisciplinary).

« Academic Departments » refers to the departments which organise, under the authority of the Academic Council of the College, study programmes in Bruges and at Natolin as well as, concerning chapters 2 to 4 of the present Regulation, to the “European General Studies” programme in Bruges.

« Director of Studies » concerns the Directors of academic departments in Bruges and at Natolin as well as the coordinator of the “European General Studies” courses in Bruges.

« Director of Language Courses » refers to the Director of the Language Service of the College.

« Chairholder » refers to a person appointed in conformity with the guidelines set up by the Academic Council for the establishment of chairs at the College.

« Course » refers to all forms of courses and seminars, compulsory or optional, in a study programme, approved by the Academic Council.

« Professor » refers to the person to whom the Academic Council has given the responsibility of teaching a course.

« Full-time Professor » refers to a professor who holds an employment contract from the College and is attached to an academic department in Bruges or at the Natolin campus.

« Academic Council » covers the Academic Council as well as the Academic Sub-Committees in Bruges and at Natolin to which powers have been delegated to deliberate upon students’ academic results (Articles 23 to 26 of the present regulation) and to take the decisions provided for in Articles 17, 27, 29§3 first sentence, 41 and 42 of the present regulation.
Chapter 1: Admission to the College

Section 1.1. - Conditions of admission

Article 1. Degrees

All applicants to the College of Europe must hold a relevant university degree. Admission to the College of Europe requires either a Bologna Master's degree, or a pre-Bologna equivalent degree, or a final university degree and at least 240 ECTS credits acquired in the course of one’s university studies. Equivalence will be determined on the basis of objective criteria.

Each academic department establishes, under the authority of the Academic Council, specific criteria for admission as to the degrees required as well as the overall academic level of students. Further information on degree requirements appears in Annex 1 to the present regulations.

Additional degrees and the professional experience of candidates may be taken into consideration during the selection process.

Article 2. Languages

The working languages of the College are English and French. The Academic Council determines the level of knowledge required in each of the two languages for each study programme.

Section 1.2. - Application for admission and the selection procedure

Article 3. Application

Candidates must submit their application for admission online as well as on paper (on the official form of the College of Europe, duly completed and accompanied by all required supporting documentation) to the Admissions Office of the College of Europe before the final date set each year by the College.

A copy of the complete admission application must also be sent to the selection body in their country.

For countries where there is no selection body, applications for admission are sent only to the Admissions Office of the College of Europe.

Applications for admission are only valid for one academic year.

Article 4. Selection procedure

An initial selection is made by the College and the national selection bodies on the basis of the application. Those candidates who are selected will be called for an interview, which will normally be attended by one or more representatives of the College. In special circumstances, the College may allow interviews to take place by telephone or videoconference.

The College retains the final say in all admission decisions, and the College’s decision is final.
Section 1.3. - Act of admission and consequent matters

Article 5. Financing studies at the College
After receiving a favourable decision of admission to a study programme, candidates must ensure that they can finance their studies and their stay at the College.

Article 6. Official admission decision
Only an official letter issued by the Admissions Office of the College of Europe constitutes definitive proof of admission of a candidate.
Admission is only valid for the academic year and for the academic department specified by the Academic Office.
A student is admitted only to one study programme.

Article 7. Conditional admission
If a candidate does not yet meet all the conditions for admission at the time of selection, an official admission decision may be made, subject to the condition that the candidate furnish proof of the acquisition of additional knowledge or required diplomas before the start of the academic year.
The official admission decision can also be made conditional upon the successful completion of a complementary training programme, such as a language course. It may require the student to participate in an introductory course before the official start of the academic year, which may be organised as an additional component of a study programme at the student’s expense.
The official admission decision can also be made subject to the condition that the candidate produce a financial guarantee.
If the conditions stipulated in the admission decision are not entirely fulfilled at the start of the year, a student may be admitted if he or she can show that the requirements will be met soon. If the conditions are not met, the diploma will not be awarded.

Article 8. Transferring from one study programme to another
A student admitted to study at the College cannot request a transfer from one academic department to another.

Section 1.4. - Ethics

Article 9.
Students must respect the laws applicable at their place of study, as well as the regulations of the College, and must abstain from any conduct which might disturb the smooth running and the good name of the College.
Any infractions will be penalised and may involve, in serious cases, expulsion from the College. All penalties will be imposed by the Academic Council, based on the recommendation of the Rector. No sanction will be imposed without that the student is heard by the Academic Council or a delegation from it.
Chapter 2: Choice of courses by students

Section 2.1. - Study programmes

Article 10. General provision
The Academic Council decides on and approves all study programmes.

Article 11. Reference to the study programmes
The study programmes specify the conditions of admission and the rules concerning the use of languages within the study programme, including course assessment, the number of courses organised, and the number of courses required to obtain a degree. They also specify the weight given to each course (weight in average) for the calculation of the overall grade and the attribution of the ECTS credit points.

Section 2.2. - Rules concerning the choice of courses

Article 12. Procedures and restrictions on course choices
Procedures relating to the choice of courses and the weight given to each course in a study programme are established by each study programme.

These rules allow students to create their individual course programme within the limits set by the study programmes. No student has a right of admittance to a particular course. Every student must follow a minimum of one course in each of the working languages of the College.

The choice of optional courses in each study programme and, when required, from European General Studies, is made before the end of the first semester on the basis of an oral or written presentation relating to the different courses.

Where a study programme requires courses to be followed from the list of European General Studies courses, these cannot be replaced by courses organised within the framework of other programmes.

Article 13. Additional courses
A student may follow a course not required by his or her study programme, either as an auditor or by registering officially for that course, provided that both the professor teaching the course and the Director of Studies of the study programme in which the course takes place agree. In either case, the student must inform the Director of the Academic Service.

If a student officially registers for an additional course, this course becomes an integral part of his or her individual programme. The student is thus irrevocably obliged to take the examination for that course. The weighting of the course as determined by its study programme will apply to the additional course. The general provisions relating to examinations and grading are all fully applicable to additional courses.

The opportunity to follow an additional course gives no rights to a student with regard to ECTS credits and course scheduling: in particular, a student cannot demand that additional ECTS credits be attributed or the timetable of the additional course be compatible with that of his or her regular programme.
Section 2.3. - Extra-curricular activities

Article 14. Possibility and limits regarding the consideration of extra-curricular activities

Certain extra-curricular academic activities may be taken into account as an additional course in the individual study programme of a student.

Any such activities, including details of how it will work in practice – in particular how it will be assessed – must be approved by the Academic Council.

Extra-curricular activities can neither replace a course required by the regular study programme of a student nor can it replace a thesis. They do not give rise to ECTS credits.
Chapter 3: Assessment

Section 3.1. - Forms of assessment

Article 15. Forms of assessment

The assessment of performance in courses at the College is undertaken on the basis of a written examination, an oral examination, or a written examination followed by an oral (see also section 4.1 below). Each student is also required to write and submit a Master’s thesis (see section 4.3 below). Study programmes may also include additional forms of assessment mentioned in Article 19 of these regulations. Any other kind of assessment must be approved by the Academic Council following a proposal from the Director of Studies.

The form of assessment applicable to each course must either be mentioned in the study programme or brought to the attention of students during the presentation of the course.

Article 16. Languages

Without prejudice to the specific requirements of language course examinations, assessments are conducted in one of the two working languages of the College, in accordance with the particular procedures for each study programme, as approved by the Academic Council.

Section 3.2. - Examination sessions

Article 17. Examination sessions

Examinations are organised during sessions fixed by the Academic Council. The first examination session is organised at the end of the first and the second semester of the academic year. The second examination session takes place after the end of the academic year in which a student has been enrolled.

Article 18. First and second sessions

The student sits an examination in each subject during the first examination session. In the case of a non-compensable failure, the student has one chance to resit the examination in question during the second examination session. In exceptional cases, and with the agreement of the Director of the Academic Service and the Director of Studies concerned, an examination taken at the end of the first semester can be retaken (on a second session basis) at the end of the second semester. Second session examinations are in principle taken in writing, without prejudice to the specific requirements of language course examinations.

Any student absent from an examination in either the first or second session for reasons considered to be legitimate by the Academic Council (see Article 27 below), may take the examination during the examination session following the end of the academic year in which he or she has been enrolled. The examination thus taken will be deemed to form part of the first or second session examinations, as appropriate to the case. In exceptional cases, and with the agreement of the Director of the Academic Service and the Director of Studies concerned, an examination not taken during the December examination session of the year of study for reasons considered legitimate by the Academic Council can be taken (on a first session basis) at the end of the second semester.
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However, under no circumstances may an examination be taken or retaken after the May-June session of examinations in the year following the year of registration of the student.

Section 3.3. - Grading

Article 19. Elements assessed in the grading process
Students are, in principle, assessed by an examination. Additional elements can be taken into account when grading, provided that this is done on the basis of clearly and individually identifiable elements (papers, oral presentations in class, handouts and interventions in class, including during «simulation games») and that it is applied equally to all students. The non-respect of formal requirements (such as deadlines or word count for written work) will be sanctioned.

Article 20. Responsibility
Professors assess students completely autonomously and assume full responsibility. In the case of written examinations in which a large number of students participate, assistants may take part in the grading process. However, any participation of assistants in the marking process must occur under the supervision of the professor who teaches the course and who assumes full responsibility for the mark awarded. Moreover, the participation of assistants in grading must be approved in advance by the competent Director of Studies.

Article 21. Marking scale
A 20 point scale with intervals of half a point is used. 20 is the highest mark and 0 is the lowest. Marks below 11 constitute a failing grade. Marks of 11 and higher but below 13 constitute a pass with the grade «fair», marks of 13 and above but below 15 constitute a pass with the grade «good», marks of 15 and above but below 17 constitute a pass with the grade «very good», marks of 17 and above constitute a pass with the grade «excellent».

For language examinations, the grade achieved under the criteria set out in the previous paragraph may be replaced by its equivalent in the scale established by the Council of Europe.

Article 22. Limited compensation for marks
A mark of at least 11 in each of the subjects making up a student’s study programme is required to obtain a degree. However, the degree is also awarded to a student who satisfies all of the four conditions below :

(i.) has an overall average of 13 or higher,
(ii.) receives no mark lower than 9 («non-compensable fail»),
(iii.) obtains no more than 2 marks of 9 and above but below 11 («compensable fail»),
(iv.) does not receive a mark below 11 for his or her thesis.
When the marks obtained during the first examination sessions do not satisfy one or more of these four conditions, the student must resit during the second session all subjects for which he or she obtained a mark lower than 11.

Section 3.4. - Deliberation of the results by the Academic Council

Article 23. Deliberation of the final results

The Academic Council deliberates on the provisional marks before deciding on the final results in agreement with the professors.

The final result for each student is based on the marks given by professors. The Academic Council can, in exceptional cases, alter a mark given by a professor after consulting the professor. The mark may not be changed by more than 1 point.

After the Academic Council has taken note of, or deliberated on, the marks, they can only be changed in accordance with the procedures described in Articles 25 and 26 of the present regulations.

Section 3.5. - Communication of the results and information provided to students

Article 24. Communication of the results

The results of examinations are not communicated to students before they have been reviewed by the Academic Council.

Article 25. Information provided to students

A student can go to the academic department which has organised the course, and request an explanation for the mark obtained from the assistant for the course, at the date and hour fixed for this purpose.

If the student continues to have important queries about the reasons for his or her mark, he or she may, within 24 hours after obtaining the information from the assistant, request that the professor provide additional explanations. The communication of the request and of the reply must in any case be done through the academic department. The mark will not be changed.

In the case of the thesis, students receive a written assessment (evaluation form) of their work. Should a student nonetheless have important queries about the reasons for his or her mark, she or he may request that the professor provide additional explanations. This request and the communication of the reply to it must in any case be done through the academic department. The mark will not be changed.

If a professor considers that the mark is the result of a manifest error of appraisal, the appropriate change will be forwarded directly and exclusively to the Director of Studies, who will then proceed in accordance with the terms of Article 26.

In the case of language courses, the student will address the request for further information to the Director of Language Courses, who will, if necessary, contact the relevant professor.
Article 26. Appeals

Where a student considers, despite having received a reasoned response from the professor, that the mark he or she has been given is based on a manifest error of appraisal, an appeal must be made in writing to the Director of Studies. The Director will decide definitively, in agreement with the full-time professor or, exceptionally, with a visiting professor of the academic department, whether or not a manifest error of appraisal has been made. Any eventual modification to the mark will be finally decided upon by the Academic Council.

In the case of “European General Studies” in Bruges and, if necessary, of the European Interdisciplinary Studies in Natolin, decisions under the provisions of this article will be taken by the Director of Studies in agreement either with a visiting professor taking part in that study programme or with a full-time professor whose specialisation is closest to the subject concerned.

In the case of language courses, decisions taken in accordance with the provisions of this article will be taken by the Director of Studies of the programme for which the student is registered and by the Director of Language Courses.

To be admissible, any appeal must be introduced before 15 April, 15 October and 15 January, whichever follows the communication of the respective result in accordance with Article 24 of the present regulations.

If a mark that has been communicated to a student is found to be the result of a technical error on the part of the professor, the academic department or the Academic Service, the Director of Studies is informed of the correction to be made to the mark. He or she informs the Academic Council. A correction that raises the mark must take place within the deadlines defined by paragraph 4 of the present article. A correction that reduces the mark can only be made within 15 calendar days following the communication of the marks.
Chapter 4: Rules applicable to different forms of assessment

Section 4.1. - Examinations

Article 27. Date and place of examinations. Excusable absences

Students must take examinations at the dates fixed for this purpose. Examinations take place on College premises, except in special circumstances and by agreement with the Director of the Academic Service.

Once a student has been apprised of one or more of the questions of an examination, he or she is considered to have taken the exam in question.

In case of illness before the examination or on the day of the examination, the student must provide the Director of the Academic Service with a medical certificate stating the reason of absence. This certificate should be received by the College, if possible, before the examination takes place, but at the latest in the week following the date of the examination.

The Academic Council alone can judge whether an absence was justified. Recognition of a justified absence has the consequences provided for in Article 18 paragraphs 2 and 3. If an absence is not considered justified, a mark of 0 will be awarded for the examination.

Second session examinations – as well as first session examinations for a student having been absent for reasons considered to be legitimate by the Academic Council – are in principle taken in writing, without prejudice to the specific requirements of language course examinations.

Article 28. Duration of written and oral examinations

The length of a written examination is left to the discretion of the professor, but may not be less than two hours in the case of an exclusively written examination.

In the case of a written examination followed by an oral examination, the oral session must last at least 15 minutes.

In the case of a wholly oral examination, the examination must take at least 25 minutes.

Oral examinations must take place between 08.30 and 22.00 (with the possibility of preparations starting from 08.00). A professor cannot spend more than 10 hours per day conducting oral examinations.

Article 29. During the written and oral examinations

During the written examinations, students (i.) may leave the room only with the authorisation of an invigilator, and only one person at a time, (ii.) may, except in the case of all language examinations, have with them a language dictionary, (iii.) may also have with them other documents authorised in advance by the professor.

During the preparation period for an oral examination, a student (i.) may not leave the room and (ii.) may, in principle, only consult documents provided by a professor for the examination. However, a professor may authorise a student to consult his own documents, on the condition that these are specified in advance and checked by the invigilator.

All behaviour contrary to these rules and other more specific requirements established by the academic departments may be penalised by the Academic Council. All cheating or attempted cheating during an examination may lead to a mark of 0 for
the examination in question, subsequent to hearing the student’s explanation. In addition, the Academic Council may expel the student from the College, if it considers this appropriate and after hearing the student’s explanation.

Article 30. People present at the time of the oral examination

If a course is taught by two professors, but the oral examinations are only conducted by one of them, the examination will nevertheless cover the entirety of the subject matter covered by the course.

If the two professors examine students separately, their examinations should, in principle, follow immediately one after the other. If this is not possible, the professors must ensure that the two tests are indeed complementary.

The presence of at least one assistant at oral examinations is mandatory. It is possible to derogate from this rule in the case of language examinations, provided that the test is recorded.

Section 4.2. - Regulations common to both written examinations and theses

Article 31. Prohibition of plagiarism

Any written work submitted by a student is assessed on its originality as well as the depth and breadth of research undertaken.

It follows that plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion and the falsification of data are all prohibited.

Article 32. Definitions

Plagiarism consists of reproducing without attribution all or part of a pre-existing work — in other words passing off the work of someone else as one’s own — whether or not the reproduction is made in the original language or another language, in a literal fashion or paraphrased. Plagiarism covers the use of whole sentences, parts of sentences, and important expressions without indicating their source, whether by giving an inadequate reference or by the omission of quotation marks. In such instances, mentioning the source only in the bibliography is insufficient. All literal quotations must be indicated by quotation marks or indented, and must be expressly attributed. Plagiarism also occurs when copies are made without attribution of all or part of official documents (legislation, preparatory documents, judgments, reports, studies, inventories, etc.), including those which may be freely copied, whether this copying is literal or takes the form of a paraphrase.

Self-plagiarism consists, as with normal plagiarism, of reproducing without attribution all or part of a pre-existing work by the author which has been created in the framework of a study programme or a previous professional activity, whether or not it has hitherto been published.

Collusion consists of entrusting to a third party the task of writing all or part of a piece of written work or a thesis but then passing off all of the final product as one’s own work.

Falsifying data consists of deliberately creating and using, in the context of a piece of written work or a thesis, data which one knows to be false, or of altering genuine data to make it support desired conclusions.
Article 33. Penalties

All cases of plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion or falsification of data within the meaning of these terms under the present regulations will incur penalties appropriate to the seriousness of the case in question. The sanction imposed will depend on the extent and nature of the incorrect citations.

In the case of plagiarism:

(i.) The verbatim reproduction or paraphrasing of one or more passages of a pre-existing text or texts, accompanied by an attribution of the source but without precise identification of the passages copied, will be penalised by a reduction in the mark awarded to the submitted work. The sanction imposed will follow a progressive scale reflecting the extent of plagiarism.

(ii.) The verbatim reproduction or paraphrasing of one or more passages of a pre-existing text or texts without identification or mention of the source of the passages will be penalised by a reduction in the mark awarded to the submitted work. The sanction imposed will follow a more severe progressive scale than in the case of the previous paragraph. The mark can be reduced to zero if it is of a significant nature (for example, the reproduction of a long extract made up of several paragraphs).

(iii.) Where, because of the penalty imposed, the mark awarded to the thesis becomes a failing mark, the student presents the same thesis again in the second session after making the required corrections. Taking into account the extent of plagiarism, the Director of Studies may ask the thesis supervisor to evaluate the thesis a second time. However, the mark given to the version submitted in second session cannot exceed the mark awarded by the supervisor to the thesis submitted in the first session. When the penalty imposed was the awarding of a mark of zero, the thesis must relate to a subject different from the original one, and the maximum mark possible will be 11.

(iv.) Where a significant proportion of the written work has been plagiarised, the penalty may be expulsion from the College without receiving the College degree.

(v.) Where, within 10 years of the award of a degree, it becomes apparent that a thesis includes elements of plagiarism as defined in Article 32 above, the College of Europe reserves the right to annul retroactively the awarding of a degree after informing the author of the thesis in question and after giving him or her access to the appeals procedures described below in Article 34.

In the case of collusion or the falsification of data, the same penalties as in the case of plagiarism will by extension be applied.

In the case of self-plagiarism, less severe penalties will be applied, with a mark of zero only being applied where plagiarism affects a significant proportion of the written work or thesis. In addition, the penalty of being expelled without a degree will not be applicable.

Article 34. Procedure. Report to the Academic Council

Any case of suspected plagiarism, self-plagiarism, collusion or falsification of data must be brought to the attention of the competent Director of Studies. The student concerned is informed and will be heard by representatives from the academic department. These representatives will consist, at a minimum, of a professor and an assistant who report back to the Director of Studies who will then decide on the appropriate penalty, taking into account the present regulations and what follows below.
A student who has been penalised by the award of a mark of zero for the thesis can request the Academic Council to review this decision.

The penalty of expelling a student from the College can only be imposed by the Academic Council, on a proposal by the Director of Studies concerned.

At the end of each academic year, the Rector will submit a report on the application of the above measures to the Academic Council and, where it concerns them, to its Sub-Committees at the end of the first and second sessions of examinations respectively.

Section 4.3. - Theses

**Article 35. Obligation to produce a thesis**

All students are required to produce a thesis on a topic falling within the domain of their study programme. This topic must be approved by the supervisor of the thesis. The thesis must be written in English or French, the language having been chosen by agreement with the supervisor of the thesis, following the particular rules specific to the study programme concerned.

Moreover, certain scholarships require the writing of a Master’s thesis on a specific subject.

**Article 36. Thesis supervisor**

The supervisor of a thesis should be teaching a course for which the student is registered. However, a chairholder, a professor teaching in the framework of the European General Studies or any other professor may co-supervise the thesis of the student, provided that the rules applying to the study programme are followed and that the arrangement meets the approval of the Director of Studies and the Director of the Academic Service.

Research for and the writing of the thesis must be undertaken in accordance with plans approved by the supervisor of the thesis.

**Article 37. Academic requirements**

A thesis must:

(i.) contribute to greater knowledge of a question relevant to the domain of a course or seminar in the study programme of the student,

(ii.) constitute an academic work, in substance and in form, having been personally created with the sole purpose of obtaining a degree of the College of Europe (which the student must solemnly declare in the thesis),

(iii.) be produced in three typed copies in conformance with the requirements specified in Annex 2 to the present regulations as well as the particular requirements set by each study programme.
Article 38. Submission and marking

Theses must be completed and submitted in their final version before the start of the examinations organised at the end of the academic year. The precise dates and times for submission are determined each year by the Academic Council.

A thesis that is not submitted or is submitted after the deadline fixed by the Academic Council, will be awarded a mark of zero.

The supervisor of a thesis will mark the work in accordance with the criteria set out in Article 37 of the present regulations. In addition, he or she will provide a written evaluation of the thesis.

The theses thus evaluated and which are likely to obtain a special recognition or a prize awarded by the College or in co-operation with the College are subject to an additional evaluation procedure determined by the Academic Council.

In the case of a failing mark, a student has one chance to resubmit the thesis in the second session. Without prejudice to Article 33 (iii.) last sentence of the present regulations, work thus submitted may consist in an improved version of the thesis submitted in the first session. It must be submitted before the beginning of the second session of examinations; the submission date will be determined by the Academic Council.

Administrative penalties may be imposed in case of an infraction of the rules mentioned in Article 37 of the present regulations.

Article 39. Deposit of theses in the Library

Without the explicit objection of the student concerned, one copy of each thesis having obtained a mark equal to or above 15 may be deposited in the Library. If the mark is less than 15, the thesis will be filed but not be freely accessible.

Article 40. Publication of summaries of the best theses on the College website

Summaries of the best theses produced within each study programme will be displayed on the website of the College, provided that the students concerned agree.
Chapter 5 : Award of degrees and related issues

Article 41. Award of the degree

The Academic Council awards the College degree to students who have satisfied the requirements set out in the present regulations and in the particular conditions specific to the study programme for which they have been registered. The diploma mentions the name of the study programme, and, when relevant, the specialisation, followed by the grade (fair, good, very good, excellent) attributed by the Academic Council. Options and majors are not mentioned on the diploma but only in the diploma supplement.

The following degrees are awarded by the College:

(i) Master of European Law (LL M)
(ii) Master of European Law (LL M) (Option: European Law and Economic Analysis)
(iii) Master of Arts in European Economic Studies
(iv) Master of Arts in European Economic Studies (Specialisation: European Economic Integration and Business)
(v) Master of Arts in European Economic Studies (Option: European Law and Economic Analysis)
(vi) Master of Arts in European Interdisciplinary Studies (Major: Governance in the EU)
(vii) Master of Arts in European Interdisciplinary Studies (Major: The European Single Market)
(viii) Master of Arts in European Interdisciplinary Studies (Major: The EU as a Regional Actor)
(ix) Master of Arts in European Interdisciplinary Studies (Major: The EU as a Global Actor)
(x) Master of Arts in EU International Relations and Diplomacy Studies
(xi) Master of Arts in European Political and Administrative Studies

The Academic Council can also decide to mention the level of linguistic ability on the degree certificate.

Article 42. Transcript

Students will receive from the Director of the Academic Service a transcript of the marks awarded in each course, which have been reviewed or decided by the Academic Council. This transcript is added to a diploma supplement.

Article 43. Final provisions

No degree, attestation, transcript of marks or certificate can be given if the provisions of these regulations have not been followed.

Any request by a student for any derogation from the requirements of these regulations must be submitted in writing to the Academic Council.

Any dispute concerning the application of the regulations should be submitted in writing to the Academic Council.

The annexes form an integral part of these regulations.
The present regulations apply with effect from the academic year 2009-2010 and replace the previous regulations as well as all other provisions relative to study at the College which are incompatible with the present regulations. They have no retroactive effect.
Annex 1  Specific admission criteria for the study programmes

- To the programme of European Economic Studies:

  Students who hold a university degree in economics may be admitted to the programme of European Economic Studies. Degrees in subjects not exclusively focusing on economics (business management, finance, commercial studies) are acceptable if the content of the subjects studied guarantees a sufficient training in economics. In exceptional cases, candidates with other qualifications may be considered.

- To the programme of European Political and Administrative Studies:

  Students who hold a university degree conforming to the requirements set out in Chapter 1, with courses focused on social sciences subjects oriented towards the study programme offered by the academic department, may be admitted to the programme of Political and Administrative Studies.

- To the programme of European Legal Studies:

  Students who hold a university degree in law, sufficient to permit access to the legal profession in the country of its award, may be admitted to the programme of European Legal Studies. Failing this, a degree meeting the conditions set out in Chapter 1 may exceptionally be acceptable if the subjects studied have been fundamentally legal in nature and have included private law, public law and international law.

- To the programme of EU International Relations and Diplomacy Studies:

  Students who hold a university degree, preferably in political science, International Relations, diplomatic studies, European Studies, law, economics or in history, may be admitted to the EU International Relations and Diplomacy study programme.

- To the programme of European Interdisciplinary Studies:

  Students who hold a university degree conforming to the requirements set out in Section 1.1. of chapter 1 of the present regulations may be admitted to the programme of European Interdisciplinary Studies. The most appropriate degrees are those which include the study of political science, history, law and economics. Holders of other degrees may also be accepted if they demonstrate a particular interest in European integration issues.
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